

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/774,951 01/30/2001 Daniel Scott Jorgenson 10005474-1 5537 7590 04/05/2005 **EXAMINER HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY** NALVEN, ANDREW L **Intellectual Property Administration** P.O. Box 272400 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 2134

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/774,951	JORGENSON, DANIEL SCOTT	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Andrew L Nalven	2134	

T	he MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY	FILED 07 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
must tii conditio Examir	by was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant mely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in on for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued action (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) 🔯 The no Exa	e period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Imminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of have been filed under 37 CFR set forth in (b)	O MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, by earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). APPEAL
was file Appeal has be	bly was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal ed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal en filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
(a) ☐ 1 (b) ☐ 1	oposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because hey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); hey raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
_ 6	They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
	nendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	ant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the owable claim(s).
how the The sta Claim(s Claim(s Claim(s	poses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of e new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended. It will be entered and an explanation of enew or amended.
	OR OTHER EVIDENCE
becaus	davit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered e applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and tearlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
entered	davit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be I because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a g a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
	ffidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. OR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
Applic based descri conte possil	equest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: ant has argued on page 10 that the Nakai reference fails to teach "selecting a repository on one of the server computers on one or more routing tokens in the file transfer request, wherein the routing tokens include one or more attributes bing the file, the client computer, or an originator of the file transfer request." Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner and that Nakai does teach the cited feature (Nakai, column 7 lines 37-56) Examiner has given "routing token" its broadest one interpretation. Nakai teaches a filename being used to route a file request to a proper repository (directory) and thus ename may be viewed as a routing token.
<u>comp</u> interp	s further argued on page 10 that Nakai fails to anticipate claim 1 because Nakai fails to teach "a plurality of server uters connected to the transport gateway. Examiner respectfully disagrees. In the prior office actions, Examiner has reted Nakai's proxy server to be the transport gateway. Figure 1 shows a transport gateway connected to two servers
	i, Figure 1, items 109 110) .
12. ☐ Note to 13. ☐ Other:	he attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
TO. C. Culei.	GREGORY MORSE SUPERVISOR: TAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100
	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100